

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,247	03/19/2001	Bradley S. Hoyl	M-9698 US	7809
7	7590 04/01/2002			
Shireen Irani Bacon SKJERVEN MORRILL MacPHERSON LLP 25 Metro Drive, Suite 700			EXAMINER	
			WOOD, KIMBERLY T	
San Jose, CA 95110-1349			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/812,247

Applicant(s)

Hoyl et al.

Examiner

Kimberly T. Wood

Art Unit **3632**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
aft	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be	considered timely.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
CO	mmunication.	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any r ea		e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	December 10 to a communication (a) filed as May 10	
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 19, 2	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.
	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 💢	Claim(s) <u>34-37</u>	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-33	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) 🗆	All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	3. Decopies of the certified copies of the priority decopies application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
		phoney under 30 0.3.0. 3 113(e).
Attachme		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other:
***		25)

Application/Control Number: 09/812,247

Art Unit: 3632

This is the first office action for serial number 09/812,247, entitled Fiber Optic Cabling Management Using Hook and Loop Fabric, filed on March 19, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 and 23-33, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "tie wrap" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 23 recites the limitation "cable fastener means" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 28 recites the limitation "the substrate" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 30 recites the limitation "the substrate means" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 31 recites the limitation "cable fastener means" in line1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/812,247

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15, 20-23, 26, and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cole 5,604,961. Cole discloses a substrate with hook and loop mechanisms (50), a cable fastener with hook and loop connectors (50f). The cable fastener having a body (see figures 4 and 5), a head portion (64) defining an opening (near 72).

Claims 1, 4-11, 14-23, 26-28, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ericksen et al. (Ericksen) 5,242,063. Ericksen discloses a substrate with hook and loop mechanisms attachable to a rigid frame (10) that is planar, a cable fastener (30) having a head (39 with an opening (40) and hook and loop connectors or mechanisms. The fastener connectable through hook and loop fasteners to the hook and loop fasteners of the substrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 3632

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 12, 12, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole in view of Kobe 5,691,021. Cole discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the pine-tree shaped or mushroom-shaped stems being the hook and loop mechanisms. Kobe teaches that it is known to have pine-tree shaped or mushroom-shaped stems being the hook and loop mechanisms (See entire document). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Cole to have made the hook and loop means of pine-tree shaped or mushroom-shaped stems as taught by Kobe since both are mechanical equivalents used for attaching.

Claims 2, 3, 12, 13, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ericksen et al. (Ericksen) 5,242,063 in view of Cole. Ericksen discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the pine-tree shaped or mushroom-shaped stems being the hook and loop mechanisms. Kobe teaches that it is known to have pine-tree shaped or mushroom-shaped stems being the hook and loop mechanisms (See entire document). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Ericksen to have made the hook and loop means of pine-tree shaped or mushroom-shaped stems as taught by Kobe since both are mechanical equivalents used for attaching.

Application/Control Number: 09/812,247

Art Unit: 3632

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 34-37 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Wood whose telephone number is (703) 308-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. The fax numbers for filing formal papers to the Group receptionist are (703) 305-3597.

Kimberly Wood March 24, 2001